

● PRINTER RUSH ●

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

Application :	<u>09/550038</u>	Examiner :	<u>GARDER</u>	GAU :	<u>2612</u>
From :	<u>NPB</u>	Location :	<u>IDC</u> FMF FDC	Date :	<u>05/03/05</u>
Tracking #: <u>epm 09550038</u> Week Date: <u>03/21/05</u>					

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1449		<input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS		<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CLM	<u>02/25/05</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility
<input type="checkbox"/> LIFW		<input type="checkbox"/> Fees
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRFW	<u>09/29/04</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> DRW		
<input type="checkbox"/> OATH		
<input type="checkbox"/> 312		
<input type="checkbox"/> SPEC		

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

[RUSH] MESSAGE:

① In claim pages dated 02/25/05, renumbered claim 10 (originally claim 11) depends from renumbered claim 11 (originally claim 13).
please advise/correct claim dependency.

② Please verify field of search subclass "21.99-21" in SRFW form dated 09/29/04. Is the range of numbers correct?
please verify. Thankyou

[XRUSH] RESPONSE: ① Claims have been renumbered so that claim 11 now depends from claim 10.

② Field of Search "21.1-21.99" is correct

INITIALS: KJ

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.
REV 10/04